Top Ten Tips Palliative Care Clinicians Should Know About Caring for Children
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Abstract

Given the limited number of pediatric-specific palliative care programs, palliative care providers of all disciplines may be called on to care for infants, children, and adolescents with serious illness. This article provides a review of the unique components of pediatric palliative care, including key roles within an interdisciplinary team, pediatric developmental considerations, use of medical technology and complexities of symptom management in children with serious illness, hospice utilization, as well as pointers for discussions with families regarding a patient’s quality of life and goals of care.
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Introduction

PEDIATRIC PALLIATIVE CARE (PPC) like adult palliative care includes an interdisciplinary holistic patient- and family-centered approach to easing suffering and enhancing well-being. Palliative care is relevant for the hundreds of thousands of children living with serious illness, regardless of their likelihood of long-term survival, including the 42,000 infants, children, and adolescents who die annually.1 A key difference between adult and PPC is the relative paucity of pediatric-specific programs. For example, 67% of hospitals with more than 50 beds report a palliative care program,2 and as recent as five years ago there were only 112 PPC programs across the nation.3 Hence, adult clinicians should expect to be called on to assist with pediatric-aged patients.

The population of children receiving palliative care generally reflects childhood mortality: 41% of patients are <1 year old, 46% are 2–17 years old, and 13% are ≥18 years old.4 They have a wide variety of complex illnesses. Most (>55%) have more than one medical problem with principle diagnoses, including genetic/congenital conditions (41%), neuromuscular disorders (39%), and cancer (20%).5 In some cases, prenatal diagnoses prompt palliative care consultation prior to a child’s birth. Patient care needs often involve multiple subspecialties, long-term medical technologies, community support programs, and medications. In addition, typical child developmental processes like gaining motor, speech, social, and cognitive skills continue during the medical experience and influence prognostication, care planning, and decision making. Regardless of age, serious illness and death in childhood is unnatural and has a profound impact on the family and community.6

These top 10 tips for palliative care clinicians are meant to provide guidance when caring for a seriously ill infant, child, or adolescent. They were chosen and vetted by pediatric and...
adult palliative care specialists with the goal of addressing common issues encountered by clinicians.

The PPC Team Includes Multiple Disciplines, Community-Based Resources, and Family Members

Most children have a community of potential partners who can provide expert advice in their care. This includes the child’s primary care physician and/or subspecialists, who often have long-term relationships with the family and want to remain involved. These clinicians provide key insight and expertise regarding the child’s medical needs, appropriate medications, and other supportive care recommendations.

Many pediatric teams also include a breadth of disciplines, including nursing, social work, art/music therapy, psychology, chaplaincy, pharmacy, nutrition, and/or child life. Roles that are more specific to pediatrics include child life specialists, who provide age-appropriate resources to reduce the impact of medical stress and support patient/family adjustment, and art/music therapists who provide additional childpreferred media as supportive care and coping tools. Even when not part of an immediate pediatric clinic or hospital, local pediatric providers may still have access to child-appropriate services such as psychologists, therapists, or nursing to assist with evolving palliative and hospice care needs. When available, these resources should be leveraged.

This comprehensive team is helpful, but not essential for the provision of palliative care. Whether or not pediatric subspecialists are available, providers may find additional assistance through the county, school, and religious communities, who often have resources of their own and are happy to remain engaged in a child’s overall care. Most importantly, family members must be identified as key collaborators because they are experts in the child’s experiences, symptoms, and corresponding physical and psychosocial needs.

Assessment of QoL

Ask family about child’s narrative
“Tell me about Leo”

Ask family about child’s QoL
“What has life been like since his diagnosis?”
“Describe to us a typical day for Leo when he is well”
“What things bring Leo comfort/joy?”

Assessment of symptoms

Ask parents/patients about symptoms and response to prior treatments
“What does it look like when he is in pain?” “When he has been in pain before, what has helped him?”

Goals of care conversations

Ask family how they make decisions for their child
“Who is involved when you have difficult decisions to make in your family?”
“How are decisions made in your family?”
“What is most important when you are receiving information from the medical team?”

Ask family how they want to receive information
“Who are the providers that know Leo best?”

Involve providers who have longstanding relationship with child/family
“Would you like us to involve them in caring for him at this time?”

Ask parents/patients their hopes and worries
“What are you hoping for Leo?”
“What are you most worried about?”

Ask parents/patient their source of strength
“In difficult times what gives you strength”
“How well is that working for you right now”

Talking with child

A child’s developmental state and family preference determine communication with the child
Ask the adolescent “We need to discuss next steps in your care, how would you like to receive this information”?
Ask parents, “What does Leo know about his illness?”
“How can we partner with you in talking to Leo about his illness?”

Due to Advancing Technology and Medical Expertise, Children Are Living Longer and with Greater Medical Complexities

Over the last half century, child mortality has declined substantially.10 With improved survival rates of premature infants and those born with congenital diseases, the prevalence of children with medical complexity (CMC) is increasing.11 CMC are a heterogeneous group representing ~13%–18% of the total pediatric patient population.12 By convention, these children have illnesses involving at least two organ systems requiring subspecialist expertise.10 With advancements in oncology,13 critical care,14 surgery, and nutrition,15 children with serious illnesses are living longer and may become vulnerable to previously undereappreciated long-term side effects of treatments and interventions.16,17 Successes in survivorship have translated to increased utilization of and dependence on medical technology (ventilators, feeding tubes, etc.), intensive hospital and community-based service utilization, and increased care coordination needs.

In light of these changes, advance care planning may be challenging. For example, evolving medical advancements, in combination with a child’s long disease course and normative development, make prognostication difficult. Unlike in adult palliative care, 70% of pediatric patients are alive one year after their initial palliative care consult.5 Therefore, palliative care clinicians should anticipate conducting multiple prognostic and goal-focused conversations, often over many years, regarding a family’s concerns and hopes for the future (Table 1).

Accurate Prognostication in Pediatrics Is Complicated by the Lack of Empirical Research and Heterogeneous Medical Experiences

Children receiving palliative care have diverse underlying medical conditions. Given the variability of illnesses, ages,
Understanding a Family’s Narrative About Their Child’s Illness and Their Definition of Quality of Life Is Essential for Effective Goals of Care Discussions

Understanding the narrative about a patient’s life and illness is critical in palliative care, and some narratives are unique in pediatrics. For example, over a long illness course, many families report hearing a poor prognosis and then “defying the odds.” These experiences may create barriers to later discussions of prognosis and corresponding decision making. Clinicians may be more successful if they validate prior experiences and inherent uncertainties, while also aligning with the parents’ hopes and clearly articulating why the current situation may be different.

In addition, a family’s identity may be tied to the caregiving role for a chronically ill child. Parents instinctually feel a need to advocate for their child, translating to potentially adversarial relationships. Parents may have a more pronounced reaction to any perceived threat to their child, and skillfully eliciting goals and establishing a shared goal of comfort or dignity may allow parents to retain their identity and sense of purpose.

Finally, clinicians need to approach questions about a family’s definition of quality of life (QoL) for their child from a perspective of humility and curiosity. For many families, especially those whose children have cognitive impairment or a major disability from birth, QoL is defined by simple behaviors: being able to smile, laugh, experience joy, know they are loved, etc. It is important to explore and honor these values, even if they differ from provider values (Table 1).

Families of Pediatric Patients Often Choose to Accept the Burdens Involved in the Use of Life-Sustaining Technology for the Benefit of a Longer Life for Their Child

Eighty percent of PPC patients receive some form of medical technology assistance, most commonly feeding tubes and central venous catheters. Parents often accept medical technologies to ensure the current and future health of their children, in particular those with medical complexity. CMC, including those with extremely limited cognition and communication, are considered to have an integral and significant role in the family unit. Members of the medical community must avoid making assumptions about patient’s QoL based on limited interactions with the patient or assumptions that QoL is poor due to intellectual disability and/or assistance with medical technology.

CMC are often followed by multiple subspecialties and many receive skilled nursing assistance in the home. Palliative care providers should work together with parents and their subspecialty providers to balance QoL (as defined by the family), with concordant medical interventions. These “blended goals” may include intensive interventions after careful discussion of the potential risks and benefits of the intervention for the child.

Most Symptoms for Pediatric Patients Can Be Managed Analogous to That of Adult Patients; However, Complex Neurologic Symptoms and Feeding Difficulties Are Prevalent and Distinct in Pediatric Population

Symptom management in the pediatric population follows many of the same principles as in adults. Key differences are linked to pediatric development (Table 2). For example, most medications are dosed based on weight, and clinicians must consider developmental and disease-based differences in metabolism, gastrointestinal absorption, organ maturity, and the ability to tolerate different medication routes. Pragmatically, these differences are most pronounced in the first six months to two years of life. Clinicians should use a pediatric or neonatal specific reference for dosing medications.

Younger children often require liquid medications, either due to the limited ability to take pills or the presence of feeding tubes. This may limit the use of longer-acting medications and translate to practical challenges obtaining medication solutions that are uncommonly prescribed outside of children’s hospitals. For example, the only liquid long-acting opioid for children is methadone, which, alongside its pharmacologic properties, makes it a popular choice for pediatric patients with chronic disease-related pain. Patient-controlled analgesia, a popular tool for symptom management, may be challenging to deliver safely and efficaciously when patients themselves are unable to trigger or limit dosing.

Pediatric patients have variable ability to describe symptoms and responses to interventions due to cognitive age and/or language abilities. Hence, clinicians may rely on caregiver insight and physiologic clues. For children with serious neurologic impairment (SNI), a good understanding from caregivers regarding potential pain drivers and typical pain behaviors can be helpful (Table 1). Children with SNI, including those with congenital brain anomalies, hypoxic-ischemic encephalopathy, and some mitochondrial disorders, may also have symptoms of neuroirritability or neurologic “storming” alongside more typical symptoms, such as seizures and spasms. The first can often be treated with careful up-titration of gabapentin; pediatric subspecialty referral may be beneficial to assist nonpediatric trained providers if gabapentin is ineffective. Likewise, pediatric delirium can be difficult to diagnose and treat, even outside the SNI population. Most delirium medications are “off-label” in pediatrics, which can make finding adequate dosing references challenging.
One last prominent difference in pediatrics is the prevalence of feeding issues and intolerance (Table 2). Neonates and infants naturally have higher rates of reflux, and patients’ primary diagnosis or prolonged hospitalizations and interventions may lead to anatomic, physiologic, or functional difficulties with feeding emerging as pain, anorexia, retching, or vomiting. In addition, children can be highly susceptible to constipation, especially depending on their developmental ability to void voluntarily. Initial management often includes titrating feeding rates and varying components of nutrition to optimize growth and minimize symptoms. Providers may need to manage complex feeding plans, motility agents, and consider various tube options. It is important to note that feeding challenges may be particularly burdensome for caregivers, and nutrition is often central to the societal perception of “good” parenting.

Parents or Other Surrogate Medical Decision Makers Are Generally Given Wide Discretion in Deciding What Is in Their Child’s Best Interest

When adults are ill, surrogate decision makers may be tasked with representing the patient’s wishes and values. When the ill patient is a child, especially one too young or cognitively unable to participate in decision making, parents (or legal guardians or other surrogates) make decisions, often based on what they believe is in the best interests of their child and/or what aligns most with the family’s values. Determining the “best interest” of a child may be difficult, especially when there are intra-family and/or family-clinician differences in perspectives regarding QoL, suffering, and benefit.24,25 Because parents are presumed to be acting on the child’s behalf, they are generally given wide discretion in making these assessments, except in the rare situations where a decision would cause demonstrable harm or danger to the child (e.g., a decision not to treat a curable childhood cancer).26,27

Situations may arise where clinicians are asked to provide care that conflicts with their own interpretation of the patient’s “best interests.” Palliative care teams can play an invaluable role in these situations of moral distress by helping other medical staff identify and name the cause of their own distress and by underscoring family goals and values. In rare instances, they may also facilitate formal ethics consultation to navigate disagreements. These actions allow clinicians to continue to provide compassionate, family-centered goal-concordant care.

Children’s Understanding of Illness and Death Evolves Over Time

Children and families experience illness in the context of normal growth and development on multiple levels, including physical, emotional, social, and psychological. To effectively communicate with a child, providers must meet the child at the appropriate developmental level. Therefore, it is important to understand the diverse stages of development in relation to the understanding of illness29 and death30–32 (Table 3). These concepts may be influenced by cultural and religious factors. It is essential to note that since children are incredibly observant, providers should be mindful of verbal and nonverbal (body language and facial expression) cues. In addition, just as parents and surrogate decision makers are given leeway in interpreting a child’s quality of life, so too should they guide determinations of information delivery and communication to child.33,34

Children Develop Increasing Decision-Making Capacities as They Get Older and Should Have Increasing Roles in Healthcare Decisions

Just as a child’s understanding of illness and its potential mortality evolves with age, so too does his or her capacity to engage in medical decision making. Where parents typically make decisions on behalf of younger children, adolescent patients are often capable of complex decision making, even when discussions involve serious issues like death.35 Most teens want to be involved in such decisions and acknowledge that, while difficult, participation is helpful in identifying treatment goals, alleviating distress, and communicating with
values, and goals can be helpful.40,41 Decision making, thoughtful exploration of parents’ worries, and Affordable Care Act of 2010 Disease-Directed Care Under the Patient Protection Pediatric Hospice Allows for Concurrent Palliative and Disease-Directed Care Under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010

Pediatric hospice allows for concurrent palliative care, most notably that the application of this law is based on state interpretation. Criteria for inclusion age and specific therapeutic interventions may vary geographically. As this is a federal mandate for funded programs, Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Programs (CHIP) are the only payers required to participate in concurrent care, and both programs may adhere to state-level statutes. Private payers may choose not to participate in concurrent care programs; however, many choose to follow local state guidelines.

It is important to be familiar with the interpretation of concurrent care by the Medicaid program in a patient’s home state. While disease-directed treatments are often covered, it is important to note that all palliative and symptom-focused evaluation and management are the responsibility of the hospice team, regardless of expense. Most states support only what is necessary based on the legislation, but some have expanded the breadth of pediatric services through Medicaid waiver programs and state plan amendments.43 A number of stipulations apply to the ACA-endorsed concurrent care, most notably that the application of this law is based on state interpretation. Criteria for inclusion age and specific therapeutic interventions may vary geographically. As this is a federal mandate for funded programs, Medicaid and Children’s Health Insurance Programs (CHIP) are the only payers required to participate in concurrent care, and both programs may also adhere to state-level statutes. Private payers may choose not to participate in concurrent care programs; however, many choose to follow local state guidelines.

Conclusion

While PPC serves a unique patient population, the basic tenants of care remain the same, including an interdisciplinary team guided by patient and family values and views of QoL. Care decisions are often based on blended goals of aiding the child to live as well as possible for as long as possible. Partnering with parents to determine goals and communication needs is critical. While prognostication is difficult, providers should remember that many children with complex life-limiting illness live for years. Communication with the child evolves as they grow up and their illness progresses. Achieving relief of physical suffering for the child requires use of appropriately chosen and dosed medications in conjunction with nonpharmacologic, age-based interventions like therapeutic play and/or art therapy. Understanding some of the unique components of pediatrics will prepare palliative care providers to confidently care for children within their practice.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>How child understands illness</th>
<th>How child understands death</th>
<th>Clinical practice implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2–6</td>
<td>Developing language skills, magical thinking, egocentric, symbolic play</td>
<td>See illness as a form of punishment or attributed to magic/supernatural. They have difficulty differentiating between contagious illnesses and noncontagious illnesses (e.g., cancer)</td>
<td>Temporary or reversible, form of separation, can be caused by thoughts, form of punishment</td>
<td>Minimize separation, correct perceptions of illness/death as punishment, use precise language (dead, dying)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7–12</td>
<td>Concrete thinking</td>
<td>Understand that contagious illnesses occur through physical contact and are capable of differentiating between different illnesses</td>
<td>Gradual awareness that death is irreversible and permanent</td>
<td>Provide concrete details if asked, allow participation in decision making</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13–18</td>
<td>Abstract thinking, self-reflection</td>
<td>Understand cause and development of an illness, that exposure to illness may not necessarily result in illness, and aware of psychological forms of illness</td>
<td>Irreversible, universal, and inevitable. May have sense of invincibility.</td>
<td>Allow expression of strong feelings, privacy, access to peers, and participation in decision making</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Developmental Stages and Concept of Illness and Death
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